

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/551,233	04/17/2000	Katsuyoshi Matsuura	FUJ 99228 CIP 9686	
7:	590 03/07/2005	EXAMINER		INER
William J Kul		LEE, HSIEN MING		
Hogan & Harts	on LLP		ART UNIT	DARED MUMBER
Suite 1500 1200 17th Street				PAPER NUMBER
Denver, CO 8	•		2823	
,			DATE MAILED: 03/07/20	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

				<u> </u>				
		Application No.	Applicant(s)	(
Office Action Summary		09/551,233	MATSUURA ET AL.					
		Examiner	Art Unit					
		Hsien-ming Lee	2823					
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address -	-				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).								
Status								
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Ja	anuary 2005.						
2a)⊠	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.						
3)								
Dispositi	ion of Claims							
5)⊠ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) Claim(s) 12 and 15-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) 12 is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-17 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicat	ion Papers							
	9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10)[10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.							
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority t	under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. HSIEN-MING LEEP PRIMARY EXAMING								
Attachmen	nt(s)		7/1					
1) Notice 2) Notice 3) Infor	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) er No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:		<i>∵</i> °∫				

Art Unit: 2823

DETAILED ACTION

Remarks

- 1. The objection and 112-first-paragraph rejection to claim 15 are withdrawn.
- 2. Applicants' cancellation to claims 1-11, 13, 14 and 18-28 is acknowledged. Claims 12 and 15-17 are pending in the application.

Grounds of Rejection

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claims 15-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cuchiaro et al. in view of Izuha et al. (US 6,060,735) and Chu et al. (US 6,287,637).

Cuchiaro et al., in Fig. 1 and related text, teach the claimed device, comprising:

- a substrate 102;
- an active device element 110 formed on a substrate 102 (Fig. 1);
- an insulation film 114 provided over said substrate 102 to cover said active device element 110 (Fig.1);
- a ferroelectric capacitor comprising a lower electrode layer 116/120 containing Pt provided over said insulation film 114, wherein the lower electrode 116/120 comprises a *Ti layer 116* and a *conductor layer 120 (Pt)*;

a PZT ferroelectric film 122, having a perovskite structure, provided on said lower electrode 120; and

Page 3

an upper electrode 124 provided on said PZT ferroelectric film 122 (Fig.1).

Cuchiaro et al. do not teach that said PZT ferroelectric film 122 has a columnar microstructure extending from an interface between said lower electrode 120 and said PZT ferroelectric film 122 is in a direction substantially perpendicular to a principal surface of said lower electrode 120, said PZT ferroelectric film 122 generally has a <111> orientation extending continuously from a bottom surface of said PZT ferroelectric film 122 to a top surface of said PZT ferroelectric film 122 and consisting of crystal grains generally having said <111> orientation and a substantially uniform grain diameter of less than about 200 nm.

However, Izuha et al. (Figs. 1-7), in an analogous art, teach the claimed semiconductor device, comprising a semiconductor substrate 1; a lower electrode 4 provided over the semiconductor substrate 1; a ferroelectric PZT film 5 on said lower electrode 4 (Fig. 1), said ferroelectric PZT film 5 (col. 4, lines 52-53) having a columnar microstructure extending from an interface between said lower electrode 4 and said ferroelectric PZT film 5 (Fig. 4A) in a direction substantially perpendicular to a principal surface of said lower electrode 4 (col. 2, line 57 through col.3, line 45), said ferroelectric film 5 is extending continuously from a bottom surface of said PZT ferroelectric film to a top surface of said PZT ferroelectric film and consisting of crystal grains having a generally uniform grain diameter of less than about 200 nm , i.e. ranging from 5 to 500 nm (col. 6, lines 52-53 and Fig.4A).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to provide the semiconductor device of Cuchiaro et al. having a columnar

Art Unit: 2823

microstructure extending from the interface between the lower electrode and the ferroelectric film in a direction substantially perpendicular to the principal surface of said lower electrode, as taught by Izuha et al., since Cuchiaro et al., and Izuha et al. have similar structure including a laminate film of the lower electrode, the ferroelectric dielectric and the upper electrode disposed in the order; and with the structure of Cuchiaro et al., and Izuha et al. it would provide a lattice-matching structure, which, in turn, would reduce current leakage in the device (abstract, Izuha et al.).

Still, Cuchiaro et al in view of Izuha et al. do not teach that the PZT ferroelectric film generally has a <111> orientation and consists of crystal grains generally has the <111> orientation.

Chu et al., however, teach the claimed ferroelectric PZT film and crystal grains with the <111> orientation in a semiconductor device, which would improve electrical characteristics of the device (col. 3, lines 47-55).

Therefore, one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, would have been motivated to provide the semiconductor device of Cuchiaro et al. in view of Izuha et al. having ferroelectric PZT film with a <111> orientation and consisting crystal grains with the <111> orientation, as taught by Chu et al., since by this manner it would provide a semiconductor device having better electrical properties.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claim 12 is allowed.
- 6. The following is a statement of reasons for the indication of allowable subject matter:

Art Unit: 2823

The closest prior art of record, Cuchiaro et al. (US 6,165,802), teach a method of fabricating a semiconductor device having a ferroelectric capacitor 118, as stated previously.

In contrast, Cuchiaro et al. do not teach crystallizing the ferroelectric film under a reduced total pressure in the range between 1 Torr and 40 Torr such that peeling of the ferroelectric film is substantially reduced.

Chu et al. to US 6,287,637 teach crystallizing the PZT ferroelectric film under a reduced oxygen partial pressure atmosphere (col. 6, lines 41-47) in the range of 10⁻⁴ to 100 Torr (col.7, line 28), wherein the reduced oxygen pressure is a partial not a total pressure, i.e. the ambient for the crystallizing comprises oxygen and argon, not pure oxygen. Although Chu et al. do suggest that crystallizing the ferroelectric film can be performed in a pure oxygen ambient, Chu et al. do not teach the reduced total pressure of oxygen is in the range between 1 Torr and 40 Torr.

Response to Amendment

7. The amendment filed 1/5/2005 is objected to under 35 U.S.C. 132 because it introduces new matter into the disclosure. 35 U.S.C. 132 states that no amendment shall introduce new matter into the disclosure of the invention. The added material which is not supported by the original disclosure is as follows:

"wherein a grain boundary of said crystal grains of said PZT film is staggered with respect to a grain boundary of crystal grains in said lower electrode"

Applicant is required to cancel the new matter in the reply to this Office Action.

Response to Arguments

8. Applicant's arguments filed 1/5/2005 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

Applicants' arguments is on the ground that cited references do not teach the amended limitation "wherein a grain boundary of said crystal grains of said PZT film is staggered with respect to a grain boundary of crystal grains in said lower electrode." However, the foregoing limitations lacks a support from the originally filed specification. On page 19 of the specification, it merely states that "[i]n the cross-sectional morphology represented in FIG.7B, it can be seen that the PLZT film 34 has a clear columnar microstructure extending perpendicularly to the principal surface of the underlying Pt electrode 33. Because of the columnar growth of the individual PLZT crystal grains, it can be seen that each crystal grain of FIG.7A is separated from neighboring crystal grains by a minute gap defining the grain boundary." (Emphasis added)

Applicants have referred to Fig.7B as a support for the foregoing amended limitation by asserting that 'the growth of the columnar PZT crystal grains starts from the "triple point" of the crystal grains of the platinum constituting the underlying electrode. In other words, the crystal grain boundary of the PZT crystal grains are "staggered" with respect to the crystal grain boundary of the platinum grains in the bottom electrode.' Applicants thus asserted that " in lzuha et al. there appears to be no teaching of a "staggered relationship between the grain boundaries of the PZT crystal grains and the bottom electrode crystal grains as claimed in claim 15." However, the specification discloses that the film 34 in FIG.7B is PLZT, not PZT as asserted; and says nothing about the "staggered with respect to a grain boundary of crystal grains

in said lower electrode." Even though applicants interpreted from FIG.7B, the examiner is not able to recognize the "triple point of the crystal grains of the platinum" because the figure is too dark and blur to tell the claimed features.

For the reasons above, the rejection is still sustained.

Conclusion

9. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hsien-ming Lee whose telephone number is 571-272-1863. The examiner can normally be reached on Tuesday-Thursday ($8:00 \sim 6:00$).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Olik Chaudhuri can be reached on 571-272-1855. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 2823

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Hsien-ming Lee Primary Examiner Art Unit 2823

March 2, 2005

HSIEN-MING LEE PRIMARY EXAMINERS 3/hous